

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

RICHARD DEEDS,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	3:06-cv-00282-LRH (VPC)
	)	
vs.	)	<u>ORDER</u>
	)	
JIM BENEDETTI, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

Before this court is Plaintiff's Petition for Review by District Judge Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) of U.S. Magistrate Judge Order #25 (Doc. #27<sup>1</sup>).

It appears that Plaintiff has challenged the Magistrate Judge's Order granting Defendants leave to file *in camera* submission of medical exhibits in support of Defendants' motion to dismiss. In Plaintiff's petition (Doc. #27) he appears to not fully appreciate the issue before the Magistrate Judge. As was reflected in the Defendants' motion, the purpose of filing the medical records *in camera* was "not to exclude the Plaintiff from seeing them, but from their becoming public record." Defendants also indicated that they would make copies of the exhibits available to Plaintiff.

///

///

///

///

---

<sup>1</sup> References to (#XX) refer to the court's docket.

1 The Magistrate Judge's Order (Doc. #25) was in accord with the law and clearly not  
2 erroneous. It will, therefore, be sustained. However, the court clarifies it to require that  
3 Defendants provide copies of the records filed *in camera* to the Plaintiff.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED this 24<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2007.

6  
7 

8  
9 

---

LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE